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#### Abstract

New chiral $\gamma$-amino alcohols 1-4 were synthesized and applied in the asymmetric addition of diethylzinc to aldehydes to give sec-alcohol with up to $94.0 \%$ enantiomeric excess.
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Although the asymmetric additions of diethylzinc to aldehydes have been extensively studied in the presence of chiral catalyst, most of the chiral ligands tested are $\beta$-amino alcohols ${ }^{1}$. In this report, the synthesis of chiral $\gamma$-amino alcohols 1-4 from the reaction of (+)-camphor and (-)-menthone with 2-lithiomethyl-6-methyl-pyridine or 2-picollylithium ${ }^{2}$, which give a single diasteromer as determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR with high yields (Scheme 1) ${ }^{3}$, and their application in the enantioselective addition of diethylzinc to aldehydes are described (Table 1).
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Reagents and conditions: i. (+)-Camphor, ether; $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, ii. (-)-Menthone, ether, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
The results show that ligand $\mathbf{4}$ is the best catalyst when the enantioselective addition of diethylzinc to benzaldehdye was carried out in toluene/hexane (v:v, $1: 1$ ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ by using $20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of catalyst (entry 4). For ligands $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{3},(R)$-1-phenyl-propanol was produced (entries 1 and 3). While for ligands 2 and 4, ( $S$ )-1-phenyl-propanol was obtained (entries 2 and 4). The ligand 4 was further examined in the enantioselective addition of diethylzinc to various aldehydes. For aromatic aldehdyes, moderate to good

[^0]enantioselectivities (76.5-94.0\%) were observed with high yields (entries 5-11). However, for aliphatic aldehydes, the enantioselectivities were moderate with good yields (entries 12-15).

Table 1 Enantioselective addition of diethylzinc to aldehydes catalyzed by ligand $1-\mathbf{4}^{\text {a }}$

| Entry | Substrate | Ligand | Yield (\%) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | ee (\%) (Config.) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Benzaldehyde | 1 | 91 | 74.8 (R) |
| 2 | Benzaldehyde | 2 | 86 | 68.8 (S) |
| 3 | Benzaldehyde | 3 | 93 | 38.1 (R) |
| 4 | Benzaldehyde | 4 | 94 | 92.3 (S) |
| 5 | $o$-Anisaldehyde | 4 | 92 | 84.1 (S) |
| 6 | $p$-Aanisaldehyde | 4 | 90 | 89.7 (S) |
| 7 | $p$-Tolualdehyde | 4 | 91 | 88.6 (S) |
| 8 | $o$-Chlorobenzaldehyde | 4 | 82 | 83.2 (S) |
| 9 | $p$-Chlorobenzaldehyde | 4 | 87 | 88.4 (S) |
| 10 | 3,4-Dimethoxybenzaldehyde | 4 | 97 | 88.7 (S) ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| 11 | 4-(Dimethylamino)benzaldehyde | 4 | 98 | 76.5 (S) ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| 12 | 1-Naphthaldehyde | 4 | 92 | 94.0 ( $S$ ) ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| 11 | 2-Naphthaldehyde | 4 | 90 | $82.7(S)^{\text {d }}$ |
| 12 | trans-Cinnamaldehyde | 4 | 87 | 76.3 (S) ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| 13 | Dodecylaldehyde | 4 | 83 | $59.6(S)^{\text {e }}$ |
| 14 | Nonylaldehyde | 4 | 86 | $54.2(S)^{\text {e }}$ |
| 15 | Cyclohexanecarboxaldehyde | 4 | 80 | $61.3(S)^{\text {e }}$ |

a) Ligand/aldehyde/ $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{Zn}=1.0 / 5.0 / 10.0$ (mmol); b) Based on isolated product; c) Except as note, the e.e. values were determined by GC with Chrompack CP-Chirasil-DEX CB capillary column and the configurations were determined by comparison the sign of the specific rotation with the known compounds; d) Determined by HPLC with a ChiralcelOD column from Daicel; e) Determined by GC with Chrompack CP-Chirasil-DEX CB capillary column after acetylation.
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